



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE . United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/534,880	03/24/2000	Shannon M. Nelson	North-391A/A-	2654	
7663	7590 08/27/2003				
STETINA BRUNDA GARRED & BRUCKER 75 ENTERPRISE, SUITE 250 ALISO VIEJO, CA 92656			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
			SEDIGHIAN, REZA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2633	14	
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003	17	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



				()(
, ,		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/534,880	NELSON ET AL.	, 				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		M. R. Sedighian	2633					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed s will be considered tim the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 N	lovember 2002 .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11)	11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved.							
12)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No					
* 0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		l Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).								
, and the state of a s								
Attachmen	t(s)							
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)								
16) 🔲 Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	19) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (F					

Application/Serial Number: 09/534,880

Art Unit: 2633

- 1. This communication is responsive to applicant's 11/13/02 amendments and the RCE filed on 5/1/03 in the application of Shannon M. Nelson et al. for "Shock resistant backplane utilizing infrared communication scheme with electrical interface for embedded systems". The amendments have been entered. Claims 1-9 are now pending.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-6, and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Welch et al. (US patent No: 5,903,373) in view of Rostoker et al. (US patent No: 5,729,535).

Regarding claims 1, 3, 6, and 8, Welch discloses a system (102, fig. 9) for operatively interconnecting modules within a computer system (col. 11, lines 32-34) to enable data to be transmitted and received therebetween (col. 11, lines 21-32), comprising: a first module having a first media access control logic circuit (112, fig. 9) formed thereon for transmitting and receiving data (data processor 112 communicate bi-directionally with remote controller 110) substantially conforming to a standardized infrared communications scheme protocol (note that data processor 112 and remote controller 110 are communicating with infrared transmitter 116 and receiver 118 and data can be transmitted and received optically through link 19, and such infrared transmitter and receiver can be conformed to standardized infrared communications scheme protocol), a second module having a second media access control logic circuit (110, fig. 9) formed thereon for transmitting and receiving data (controller 110 communicate bi-directionally with data

Application/Serial Number: 09/534,880

Art Unit: 2633

processor 112 and processor 114) substantially conforming to a standardized infrared communications scheme protocol utilized by the first module (note that data processor 112 and remote controller 110 are communicating with infrared transmitter 116 and receiver 118, and such infrared transmitter and receiver can be chosen to be conformed with standardized infrared communications scheme protocol), and a single hardwire electrical conductor signal path (the path or the electrical conductor that connects module 112 to module 110) connecting the first and second modules to facilitate electrical bi-directional communications (col. 11, lines 28-32). Welch differs from the claimed invention in that Welch does not specifically disclose the system is a sock resistant system. Rostoker teaches a sock resistant system (col. 4, lines 25-26 and 1, fig. 2) for a wireless communication board (9, fig. 2, 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that a data processing system such as the one of Welch can be housed within a housing such as the one of Rostoker to provide safety and protection. As to a shock resistant system, it is inherent that electrical or optical components are housed within a housing for the reason of safety and protection, and it would have been obvious to provide a house to a system in order to protect it's components and to provide safety to users.

Regarding claims 4 and 9, Welch discloses the first and second modules are operative to run an embedded application (col. 11, lines 30-32, 36-40).

Regarding claim 5, Welch further discloses the system (102, fig. 9) comprises a multiplicity of modules (112, 110, 114, fig. 9), wherein each one of the multiplicity of modules has at least one transmitter (116, fig. 9) and a receiver element (118, fig. 9) formed thereon and

Application/Serial Number: 09/534,880

Art Unit: 2633

each respective one of the multiplicity of modules being electrically interfaced to one another (note that modules 112, 110, and 114 are electrically connected to each other).

4. Claims 2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Welch et al. (US patent No: 5,903,373) in view of Rostoker et al. (US patent No: 5,729,535) and in further view of Matsubara et al. (US Patent No: 6,335,812).

Regarding claims 2 and 7, the modified communication system of Welch and Rostoker differ from the claimed invention in that Welch and Rostoker do not disclose a standardized infrared communications scheme protocol developed by the Infrared Data Association.

Matsubara discloses a plurality of optical communication modules (110, 111, fig. 2) that communicate based on infrared scheme protocol developed by the infrared data association. (col. 1, lines 10-18). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate an optical transmitter and an optical receiver that uses a standard protocol defined by IrDA such as the ones of Matsubara for the optical transmitter and receiver in the modified communication system of Welch and Rostoker in order to provide a point-to-point transmission that support a broad range of applications, computations, and communications.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad R Sedighian whose telephone number is (703) 308-9063. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (from 9 AM to 5 PM).

Art Unit: 2633

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (703) 305-4729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

M.R. SEDIGHIAN
Patent Examiner
Art Unit: 2633